Πατμανίδης Σπύρος ΣΗΜΜΥ

The only difference between a probabilistic classical world and the equations of the quantum world is that somehow or other it appears as if the possibilities would have to go negative.

-Richard Feynman, in "Simulating Physics with Computers", 1982

Introduction

- Quantum computing is an new computational model that <u>may</u> be physically realizable and <u>may</u> provide an exponential advantage over "classical" computational models such as probabilistic and deterministic Turing machines.
- Quantum computers pose a serious challenge to the strong Church-Turing thesis – if quantum computers are physically realizable, then the strong Church-Turing thesis is wrong.

Introduction

- The physical parameters (energy, momentum, spic, etc.) of elementary particle such as an electron are *quantized* and can only take values in a discrete set.
- The value of a physical parameter of a particle (including location, energy, etc.) at any moment in time is not a single number. Rather the parameter has a kind of *probability wave* associated with it, involving a *"smearing"* or *"superposition"* over all possible values. The parameter only achieves a definite value when it is measured by an observer, at which point we say that the probability wave *collapses* to a single value.

Quantum Weirdness: The Two-Slit Experiment



Quantum Superposition and Qubits

- The unit of storage in quantum computing is a *qubit*.
- Elementary particle, which can be *simultaneously* in both basic states.
- The state of a qubit at any time is called a *superposition* of these basic states.
- We denote the basic states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.
- We allow a qubit to be in any state of the form $\alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle$

where α_o , α_1 are called *amplitudes* and are *complex* numbers satisfying $|\alpha_o|^2 + |\alpha_1|^2 = 1$

- When the qubit is observed, with probability $|\alpha_o|^2$, it is revealed to be in state zero and with probability $|\alpha_1|^2$, it is revealed to be in state one.
- After observation the amplitude wave *collapses*, and the values of the amplitudes are *irretrievably* lost.

Quantum Superposition and Qubits

 A system of two qubits can be in four states |00>, |01>, |10>, |11> and the state of a two-qubit system at any time is described by a superposition of the type

 $a_{00}|00\rangle + a_{01}|01\rangle + a_{10}|10\rangle + a_{11}|11\rangle$

where $\sum_{b1,b2} |a_{b1b2}|^2 = 1$. When this system is observed, its state is revealed to be $|b1b2\rangle$ with probability $|a_{b1b2}|^2$.

Quantum Superposition and Qubits - Examples

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0
angle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1
angle$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0
angle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1
angle$$

- If the qubit is measured, what is the possibility it contains 0?
- If the qubit is measured, what is the possibility it contains 1?

Quantum Superposition and Qubits - Examples

We call the state where all the coefficients are equal the *uniform* state.

$$|0\rangle + |1\rangle$$
 denotes the state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$

$$|0
angle - |1
angle$$
 denotes the state $rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0
angle - rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1
angle$

The uniform state for a two-qubit system is $|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle$

What is the normalization factor for a two-qubit system?

Quantum Superposition and Qubits

- We will sometimes denote the state $|xy\rangle$ as $|x\rangle|y\rangle$.
- Using this notation, we can write the uniform state of a two-qubit system as

 $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$

which shows that this state just consists of two one-qubit systems in uniform state.

Some necessary Linear Algebra

- If z = a + ib is a complex number (where $i = \sqrt{-1}$), then $\overline{z} = a ib$ denotes complex *conjugate* of z. Note that $z\overline{z} = a^2 + b^2 = |z|^2$.
- The *inner* product of two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^M$, denoted by $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$, is equal to $\sum_{x \in [M]} \mathbf{u}_x \overline{\mathbf{v}}_x$.
- The *norm* of a vector **u**, denoted by $\|\mathbf{u}\|_2$, is equal to $\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum_{x \in [M]} |\mathbf{u}_x|^2}$.
- If $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ we say that \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are *orthogonal*.
- A set $\{\mathbf{v}^i\}_{i \in [M]}$ of vectors in \mathbb{C}^M is an *orthonormal* basis of \mathbb{C}^M if for every $i, j \in [M]$, $\langle \mathbf{v}^i, \mathbf{v}^j \rangle$ is equal to 1 if i = j and equal to 0 if $i \neq j$.
- If A is an $M \ge M$ matrix, then A^* denotes the *conjugate transpose* of A. That is $A_{x,y}^* = \overline{A}_{y,x}$ for every $x, y \in [M]$.
- An $M \ge M$ matrix A is unitary if $AA^* = I$, where I is the $M \ge M$ identity matrix.

Some necessary Linear Algebra

Claim 10.5 For every $M \times M$ complex matrix A, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. A is unitary (i.e. $AA^* = I$)
- 2. For every vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^M$, $||A\mathbf{v}||_2 = ||\mathbf{v}||_2$.
- 3. For every orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{v}^i\}_{i\in[M]}$ of \mathbb{C}^M , the set $\{A\mathbf{v}^i\}_{i\in[M]}$ is a orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^M .
- 4. The columns of A form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^M .
- 5. The rows of A form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^M .

The quantum register and its state vector

- In a standard digital computer, by taking *m* physical objects (every object has two states) together we have an *m*-bit *register* whose state can be described by a string in {0,1}^m.
- A quantum *register* is composed of *m* qubits, and its state is a superposition of all 2^m basic states: a vector $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}_{0^m}, \mathbf{v}_{0^{m-1}1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{1^m} \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$, where $\sum_x |\mathbf{v}_x|^2 = 1$.

Quantum Operations

Definition 10.6 (*Quantum Operation*) A quantum operation for an *m*-qubit register is a function $F: \mathbb{C}^{2m} \to \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ that maps its previous state to the new state and satisfies the following conditions:

Linearity: *F* is a linear function. That is, for every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$,

 $F(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{x} |\mathbf{v}_{x}|^{2} F(|x\rangle).$

Norm preservation: F maps unit vectors to unit vectors. That is, for every \mathbf{v} with $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = 1$, $\|F(\mathbf{v})\|_2 = 1$.

Lemma 10.7 (*Composition of quantum operations*) If A_1, A_2 are matrices representing any quantum operations, then their composition (i.e. applying A_1 followed by applying A_2) is also a quantum operation whose matrix is A_2A_1 .

Some examples of Quantum Operations

- Flipping qubits
- Reordering qubits
- Copying qubits
- Rotation on single qubit
- AND of two qubits Toffoli Gate
- The Hadamard operation

Quantum Computation and BQP

Definition 10.8 (*Elementary quantum operations or quantum gates*) A quantum operation is called *elementary*, or sometimes *quantum gate*, if it acts on three or less qubits of the register.

Quantum Computation and BQP

Definition 10.9 (*Quantum Computation and the class* **BQP**) Let $f: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$ and $T: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be some functions. We say that f is computable in quantum T(n)-time if there is a polynomial-time classical TM that on input $(1^n, 1^{T(n)})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ outputs the description of quantum gates F_1, \ldots, F_T such that for every $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, we can compute f(x) by the following process with probability at least 2/3:

- 1. Initialize an *m* qubit quantum register to state $|x0^{n-m}\rangle$ (i.e., x padded with zeros), when $m \le T(n)$.
- 2. Apply one after the other T(n) elementary quantum operations $F_1, ..., F_T$ to the register.
- 3. Measure the register and let Y denote the obtained value. (That is, if **v** is the final state of the register, then Y is a random variable that takes the value y with probability $|\mathbf{v}_y|^2$ for every $y \in \{0,1\}^m$.)
- 4. Output Y_1 .

A Boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$ is in **BQP** if there is some polynomial $p: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such as that f is computable in quantum p(n)-time.

Quantum Circuits

Quantum circuits are similar to Boolean circuits: These are directed acyclic graphs with sources (vertices with in-degree zero) denoting the inputs, sinks (vertices with out-degree zero) denoting the outputs and internal nodes denoting the gates.

$$\begin{array}{c} |q_0\rangle - H \\ \bullet \\ |q_1\rangle - \bullet \end{array}$$

Apply Hadamard operation on $|q_0
angle$

Apply the mapping $|q_0q_1
angle\mapsto |q_0(q_0\oplus q_1)
angle$

Classical Computation as a subcase of Quantum Computation

Lemma 10.10 (Boolean circuits as a subcase of quantum circuits) If $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^m$ is computable by a Boolean circuit of size S then there is a sequence of 2S + m + n quantum operations computing the mapping $|x\rangle|0^{2m+S}\rangle \mapsto |x\rangle|f(x)\rangle|0^{S+m}\rangle$.

Corollary 10.11 BPP BQP

Universal Operations

Theorem 10.12 (Universal basis for quantum operations [Deu89, Kit97]) For every $D \ge 3$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $l \le 100 (D\log^{1}/\varepsilon)^{3}$ such that the following is true. Every DxD unitary matrix U can be approximated as a product of unitary matrices $U_{1}, ..., U_{l}$ in the sense that its (i, j) the entry for each $i, j \le D$ satisfies

$$\left|U_{i,j} - (U_l \cdots U_1)_{i,j}\right| < \varepsilon$$

and each U_r corresponds to applying either the Hadamard gate $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, the Toffoli gate $|abc\rangle \mapsto |ab(c \oplus a \wedge b)\rangle$, or the phase shift gate $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$, on at most three qubits.

Shor's Algorithm: Integer Factorization using Quantum Computers

- The *integer factorization* problem is to find, given an integer N, the set of all *prime factors* of N.
- The best classical algorithm takes roughly $2^{(logN)^{1/3}}$ steps to factor N [LLMP90].

Theorem 10.15 Shor's algorithm: Factoring in BQP [Sho97]

There is a quantum algorithm that given a number N, runs in poly(log(N)) and outputs the prime factorization of N.

Shor's Algorithm: Integer Factorization using Quantum Computers

- 1. Since N has at most logN factors, it clearly suffices to show how to find a *single* factor of N in $poly(\log N)$ time because we can then repeat the algorithm with N divided by that factor, and thus find all factors
- 2. It is a well-known fact that in order to find a single factor, it suffices to be able to find the order of a random number $A \pmod{N}$, in other words, the smallest r such that $A^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. With good probability, the order r of A will be even and $A^{r/2} 1$ will have a nontrivial common factor with N, which we can find using a GCD computation.
- 3. The mapping $A \mapsto A^x \pmod{N}$ is computable in $poly(\log N)$ time even on classical TMs.

Using those observations we can come up with a simple $poly(\log N)$ time quantum algorithm that transforms a quantum register initialized to all zeros into the state that is the uniform superposition of all states of the type $|x\rangle$, where $x \le N$ and satisfies $A^x \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ for some randomly chosen $y_0 \le N - 1$. By elementary number theory, the set of x's form an arithmetic progression of the type $x_0 + ri$ for i = 1, 2, ... where $A^{x_0} \equiv y_0 \pmod{N}$ is the order of A.

Shor's Algorithm: Integer Factorization using Quantum Computers

- We created a quantum state involving strong periodicity (namely an arithmetic progression) and we are interested in determining its period.
- The Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT) allows us to detect periods in quantum state. This is a quantum algorithm that takes a register from some arbitrary state $f \in \mathbb{C}^M$ into a state whose vectors is the Fourier transform \hat{f} of f.
- The QFT takes only $O(log^2M)$ elementary steps and is thus very sufficient.

Thank You