The Computational Complexity of Computing Nash Equilibrium

Andreas-Nikolas Göbel

NTUA

Algorithmic Game Theory, June 2011

2011

1/18

Göbel (NTUA)

- John Nash: Every Game has a (Mixed) Nash Equilibrium.
- C.S. : How hard is to compute a Nash Equilibrium?
- Outline of the talk:
 - Complexity Classes for Search Problems vs Complexity Classes for Decision Problems (NP vs FNP).
 - The class TFNP and its problems that can be grouped according to a non-constructive existence proof:

```
PPA and PPAD
PLS
PPP
```

• The problem NASH and its computational complexity. (will be left for next Thursday).

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- A language L ⊆ Σ^{*} is in NP if and only if there is a polynomially decidable and polynomially balanced relation R_L such that L = {x : (x, y) ∈ R_L for some y}.
- Each decision problem *L*, Has a corresponding search problem, S_L .
- Given input x ∈ Σ*, return a y ∈ Σ*, such that (x, y) ∈ R_L, if such a y exists, otherwise return the string "no".
- For NP-problems we define the class containing their search version to be FNP.
- FP contains the problems of FNP for which a poly-time algorithm is known.

- Polynomial time reductions between two search problems *A*, *B*: $\exists f, g \text{ s.t. } R_A(x, g(y)) \iff R_B(f(x), y).$
- *f* produces an instance *f*(*x*) of the function probelm *B* such that we can construct an output *g*(*y*) for *x* from any correct output *y* of *f*(*x*).

- SAT is complete for NP.
- FSAT is complete for FNP (a-la-cook proof), That is find a satisfying assignment.
- Solving FSAT immediately solves SAT.
- There exist a poly-time algorithm for FSAT based on the self reducibility of SAT whith *n*-calls to SAT.

Theorem

FP = FNP if and only if P = NP

- Contains the search problems for which R_L is total.
- Telling whether *R_L* is total is undecidable ← TFNP is a semantic class.
- Semantic Classes are not known to contain complete problems.
- $FP \subseteq TFNP \subseteq FNP$.

• TFNP = $F(NP \cap coNP)$.

- NP contains problems with "yes" certificate y s.t. $R_1(x, y)$.
- coNP contains problems with "no" certificate z s.t. $R_2(x, z)$
- For TFNP \subseteq F(NP \cap coNP) let $R_1 = R$ and $R_2 = \emptyset$.
- For $F(NP \cap coNP) \subseteq TFNP$ let $R = R_1 \cup R_2$.
- An FNP-complete problem is in TFNP if and only if NP = coNP.
 - "if" part comes from the above
 - "only if": Assume that FSAT reduces to the FNP-complete problem *S_R*, and that *S_R* ∈ TFNP
 Any unsat formula φ has a "no" certificate *y*, s.t. *R*(*f*(φ), *y*), and *g*(*y*) = "no"
 y is guaranteed to exist as *R* is total, thus SAT is in NP.

*日を *日を *日を

Proofs of existence and the subclasses of TFNP

- Polynomial Local Search (PLS): Every directed acyclic graph has a sink.
- **Polynomial Pidgeonhole Principle** (PPP): If a function maps n elements to n 1 elements, then there is a collision.
- **Polynomial Parity Argument** (PPA): If an undirected graph has an odd degree node, then it must have another odd degree node.
- **Polynomial Parity Argument Directed** (PPAD): If a directed graph has an unbalanced node (indegree≠ outdegree), then it must have another unbalanced node.



The class PPA (An example)

- ANOTHER HAMILTON CYCLE
- Any graph with odd degree nodes has an even number of hamilton cycles through edge xy
 - Take a HC and remove edge xy to obtain a Hamilton Path
 - Fix x as an endpoint and start rotating from the other end
 - Each hamilton path has two valid neighbours (*d* = 3) except the paths with endpoints *x*, *y*
 - Parity Argument: At least two such HP's must exist
 - Add edge x, y



• 2DSPERNER

- Sperner's Lemma on triangulated plannar graphs: Consider an arbitrary coloring (0, 1, 2) on a plannar triangulated graph, then there exists an even number of trichromatic triangles.
- The computational problem that arrises for this lemma: The outer face is one trichromatic triangle, can u fing a secong one?
- When the graph coloring is given explicitly it is easy
- Instead we have an algorithm (cirquit) that given a vertice (v), after poly-time it returns the color of v.

The class PPAD (An example) II

- Each original vertex obtains each own color
- No vertex on the edge uv contains the color 3 c(u) c(v), where c is the coloring function.
- Add external 0-1 edges
- Traverse the triangles using 0-1 edges (doors)
- A room w/o a door must be trichromatic
- The process cannot exit the triangle and cannot fold upon itself
- The tour leaves 0 nodes to the right, hence we have direction.



- Let *A* be a problem and *M* the associated poly-time TM.
- Let *x* be an input of *A*.
- The configuration space C(x) of M on input x is $\Sigma^{p(|x|)}$.
- For c ∈ C(x), M outputs in O(p(n)) time a set of at most two configurations, namely M(x, c).
 - M(x, c) may be empty.
- c, c' are neibours, $[c, c'] \in G(x)$, iff $c \in M(c', x)$ and $c' \in M(c, x)$.
 - G(x) is a symmetric graph of degree at most two.
 - It is the implicit search graph of the problem.
- Let M(x, 0...0) = 1...1, and $0...0 \in M(x, 1...1)$, hence 0...0 is the standart leaf.
- PPA: "Given x, find a leaf of G(x) other than $0 \dots 0$."

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三

ANOTHER HAMILTON CYCLE is in PPA

- Let x be the input: (G, h) the graph and a hamilton cycle.
- *C_x*, the configuration space of *x* contains encodings of valid Hamilton Paths and other irrelevant strings.
- On input x ∈ C_x machine M outputs in time O(p(n))) a set M(x, c of, at most, two neigbors of a valid Hamilton Path
- *c* and *c'* are neigbors ([*c*, *c'*] \in *G*(*x*)) iff *c* \in *M*(*c'*, *x*) and *c'* \in *M*(*c*, *x*), in the neigboring graph of "valid" Hamilton Paths
- the standart leaf is the Hamilton Path that occured from the removal or edge *xy*.

Same as PPA with the following differences:

- $M(x, c) = In(x, c) \cup Out(x, c)$ is an ordered pair of configs whith $|In(x, c)| \le 1$ and $|Out(x, c)| \le 1$.
- G(x) is now directed $(c, c') \in G(x)$ iff $c \in In(x, c')$ and $c' \in Out(x, c)$.
- We search for a node (not the standart one) with |In(x, c)| + |Out(x, c)| = 1, i.e. any other source or sink.

- Let *x* be the input: A triangle, a triangulation and a valid color assignment (in terms of a cirquit/algorithm)
 - we need some sort of guarantee of the validity of the input.
- *C_x* is the configuration space of *x*, encodings of the active sub-triangles of the triangulation
- $M(x, c) = In(x, c) \cup Out(x, c)$ where:
 - In(x, c) is the sub-triangle we came from.
 - Out(x, c) is the sub-triangle we are heading into.
- c and c' are neighbors iff $[c, c'] \in G(x)$) iff $c \in M(c', x)$ and $c' \in M(c, x)$
- The standart node is the outer face of the triangulated graph.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The class PPAD (II)



Göbel (NTUA)

- In order to fix the setting for the next talk consider the following computational problem:
 - END OF THE LINE: Given two circuits *S* and *P*, each with *n* input and output bits, such that $S(P(0^n)) \neq 0^n = P(S(0^n))$, find an input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ s.t. $P(S(x)) \neq x$ or $S(P(x)) \neq x \neq 0^n$
- The above problem is PPAD-complete (recall the proof that CIRQUITSAT is NP complete)
- From now on we can say that PPAD contains the problems that reduce to END OF THE LINE.

THANK YOU!!

Göbel (NTUA)

▶ ≣ ৩৭৫ 2011 18/18

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >